

**COPY**

**Robert D. Bradshaw**  
**PO Box 473**  
**1530 W. Trout Creek Road**  
**Calder, Idaho 83808**  
**Phone 208-245-1691**

Defendant, Pro Se

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT**  
**FOR THE**  
**COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS**

**ROBERT A. BISOM**

) Civil Action No 96-1320

Plaintiff

v.

**COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN**  
**MARIANA ISLANDS; ROBERT D.**  
**BRADSHAW, former Temporary Public**  
**Auditor, in his individual capacity, et al.**

Defendants

) **BRADSHAW'S AFFIDAVIT ON**  
) **TELEPHONE AND FAX**  
) **CONTACTS WITH THE CNMI**  
) **ATTORNEY GENERAL--**  
) **IN REFERENCE TO**  
) **CNMI CASE 96-1320**

1. Attached as exhibit A hereto is a record from Verizon of long distance phone calls made by me to Salpan on the dates indicated. The 234 numbers in Aug and early Sep 2004 were calls to Micronesia Legal Services Corp or calls trying to reach them. The 664 numbers are generally to the CNMI AG but calls to 664-2312 and a few of the other calls were to the AG Investigating office. There were a few other calls made by me to the AG in St Maries, ID, some 30 miles away on a telephone calling card which did not provide a record of calls. I also sent several faxes to the AG. And Mr. Ed Buckingham called me a few times.

[E.T.]

WJH

2. In April 2004, I learned from the CNMI AG that a default judgment for \$139,000 was made against me in the CNMI Superior Court Civil Case 96-1320 (as outlined in both the CNMI Superior Court case file 96-1320 and the US District Court of Idaho file 5-84).

3. In Aug 2004, I asked Tom J. Schweiger, former director of Micronesian Legal Services Corp (now with the AG), to help me find out about the 96-1320 default that was entered. He checked the case file and on Sep 9th said that the basis of the judgment was that Robert A. Bisom in 96-1320 filed in court documents showing process service upon me by two means--Bisom served the CNMI AG for me and he also allegedly served on me by alleged certified mailings of summons and complaints which were allegedly receipted by a person apparently named "Manny." Schweiger said that the case files also showed some similar receipts for alleged mailings to me from the AG. He added that he remembered the Bisom case and that the two AG lawyers at the trial of the case had a violent argument which almost turned into a fight.

4. On Sep 12, 2004 (Sep 13, 2004 Saipan time), I contacted the CNMI AG's office and spoke to "Heather" in the Criminal Division. She was briefed on the alleged fraudulent mailing receipts filed in 96-1320 and informed that I wished to file criminal charges against the person(s) who filed the receipts. She referred me to Mr. Lemons who I fully briefed on the alleged fraudulent mailing receipts. He referred me to Asst AG Ed Buckingham who was supposed to be my contact and the person who would conduct an investigation into my allegations.

5. I talked to Mr. Buckingham and informed him fully about the postal receipts and also the fact that the AG had accepted service on the case though Jay Sorensen

had been expressly told that the AG would not accept service. In our discussion, I remarked that I thought that the AG had a file copy of the case file in its office. But Mr. Buckingham said that the AG did not have file copies of the cases it defended. He added that he would check the file copy at Superior Court.

6. I went to St Maries, ID and faxed the documents I had in my possession on this case with notarized affidavits of my reply on them or the mailing of them (as are now filed in the CNMI Superior Court file 96-1320 and in the US District Court of Idaho file 5-84). I then called Mr. Buckingham back and he verified that he had seen the process service documents filed with the alleged postal return receipts and return of service forms and that the documents on file were as I described (based on the findings of Tom Schweiger). I had the impression that Mr. Buckingham had the documents in front of him, although this might not have been the case.

7. I specifically noted to Mr. Buckingham that I wanted to file criminal charges against the persons responsible for the fraudulent mailing receipts and that I wanted the AG, which was supposed to be my lawyer in this case, to follow up with a court action in the Saipan Superior Court to have the judgment stricken or voided on me as it was obtained by fraud. Otherwise, I specifically requested that the AG at once take action to pay the \$139,000 to Bisom on the basis of the Indemnification Act.

8. I strongly recommended to Mr. Buckingham that certified true copies of the fraudulent receipts be made and used in the investigation and that his office or the court take action to secure the case file as it involved alleged fraud. I also recommended to Mr. Buckingham that the documents or copies thereof be forwarded to the US Postal authorities as they involved US postal fraud. I then suggested that

the AG make for me a certified true copy of the postal receipts and I would at once take them to the US postal inspector in Spokane for an investigation. He said that he would refer the case to Pamela Brown for a decision on what the AG would do on it. Ms Brown was off island but due back in a few days.

7. Thereafter, I called Mr. Buckingham back on Sep 19 and many times thereafter to get a response on AG follow-up. He told me that Ms Brown was briefed and she had authorized the AG to investigate the fraud charges. I noted to Buckingham that the mail receipts should also be sent to the FBI as the FBI lab has a capability to completely analyze both the writings and the ink used to try to determine who wrote them and if they were prepared by the same pen, and so forth. While Mr. Buckingham did not confirm that he would go the FBI with the documents, he seemed receptive to the idea. I had a feeling that he was limited in what decisions he could make but would have to refer matters to Pamela Brown for any decisions. In our several conversations, I also suggested that he send me copies of the alleged postal return receipts and that I would take them to US Postal inspectors for a postal investigation. I followed up on Jan 25, 2005 with the letter to the AG at Exhibit B (sent by both fax and mail) which recaps the need to make copies of the alleged fraudulent documents, to secure them adequately, and another mention of the need for US Postal Investigators and the FBI.

8. In late Sep 2004, Mr. Buckingham informed me that he had briefed and asked Mr. Joseph Race, acting director in charge of the CNMI Investigations, to investigate the case. In a Oct 7, 2004 letter from Mr. Race, he noted that he tried to call me and that he had referred the case to Frank Kapileo and Alfred Teregeyo. I called

them a few times and on Oct 20, 2004 I talked to Mr. Kapileo and offered my help and any information which he might need from me. He noted to me that he had the case file in front of himself and he and Mr. Teregeyo were both reading it. He did not say why or how that he came into possession of the case file. But he acknowledged seeing the postal receipts in the file.

9. I made several other contacts with Mr. Buckingham and the investigators over the next several weeks. Their responses were always that they were investigating the case and would get back with me. At one point in time, in January 2005, I discussed the case with Mr. Race. I remember his words that it looked to him like I got a dirty deal from the documents filed by Bisom in court. I had the impression that Mr. Race had personally seen the alleged postal receipts. I asked to speak to Mr. Aldan, who had been appointed Chief of Investigations. Mr. Race said that Mr. Aldan was in Pam Brown's office briefing her--presumably on my case.

10. In Jan 2005, I also tried to talk to Mr. Kapileo but he was out of the office, so Mr. Teregeyo took my call and we chatted about the case. Mr. Teregeyo said that he had the file but that Mr. Kapileo had removed the postal return receipts and had taken them with him to the local Saipan Post Office--supposedly Mr. Kapileo was then talking to the Saipan Postmaster about the receipts (suggesting that he by then had shown the receipts to the postmaster or someone at the Post office). I also repeated my earlier comments that if the AG would send me copies, I would take the receipts to the US Postal Inspectors.

11. In late Jan 2005, I became concerned that the CNMI would not supply me copies of the receipts and that the AG was not making any effort to involve the US

postal inspectors or the FBI. I called Mr. Buckingham several times in Jan and early Feb 2005 and tried to encourage him to take some action since he was supposed to be the Asst AG in charge of the case, per Mr. Lemons' words to me.

12. Mr. Buckingham was always friendly and seemed cooperative, interested and concerned. He maintained several times that he had spoken to or was going to speak about the case to Pam Brown, Mr. Race and/or Mr. Aldan. Mr. Buckingham conveyed to me his efforts and desire to get a colorable investigation and to resolve the case. At one time, he suggested that he would make copies of the file and/or at least the documents in question and mail them to me. I said that this would be good.

13. In the meantime, I continued to send faxes and write letters to the AG outlining the need for action to secure the documents by making copies and to pay the \$139,000 to Bisom.

14. In my last telephone conversation with Mr. Buckingham in early Feb 2005, his demeanor to me had completely changed. Whereas he had always been a nice, friendly person interested in trying to resolve the case, he abruptly became as cold as ice and was clearing putting some distance between himself and me. In terms of sending me any of the documents, he said no that I had misunderstood him and that the case file was then in the possession of the AG "for review" as he put it.

15. He further communicated that he had been taken off the case and that someone else in the AG's office would contact me in the future on the case. While he did not say so, it seemed clear to me that Mr. Buckingham had been reprimanded and disciplined for trying to be friendly and trying to resolve the case with me. It was clear that Pam Brown herself or others had dressed him down, directly or indirectly.

16. No other persons in the AG's office contacted me until I received Ms Brown's letter of Feb 15, 2005 which effectively said that the AG would do nothing further on the case (as filed by me in both the CNMI 96-1320 file and the US District Court of Idaho file 5-84). It was then that I clearly understood that the AG had become an hostile, adversarial party who in the future would work against me and act to protect the guilty parties involved in 96-1320 who had filed the fraudulent documents. I then filed a motion with the CNMI Superior Court to void the judgment and filed suit in the Idaho US District Court.

17. In the US District Court case, I tried to obtain the receipts by discovery. But the CNMI, through its attorney so far has refused my discovery request (s).

18. In June 2005, in a conversation I had with Ms Bernie Sablan, Clerk of the CNMI Superior Court, she told me that the case file on 96-1320, was in her possession for the judge to use in my motion to void. She said that the alleged postal receipts showing service are not presently in the file. I had the impression that Ms Sablan was unaware that the AG's office had had possession of the 96-1320 case file.

19. In all my contacts with the CNMI AG, I was led to believe that the AG had possession of certainly the mail receipts and indeed generally the whole 96-1320 file.

DATED this 21st day of July 2005 at St. Maries, Idaho.

  
Robert D. Bradshaw

STATE OF IDAHO  
COUNTY OF BENEWAH




To-US DISTRICT COURT, N Page 008

From-

Received Mar-30-2006 12:00

I, Matthew E. Blood, Notary of the state of Idaho  
and county of Benewah, residing at Benewah County  
do hereby certify that on this 21<sup>st</sup> day of  
July 2005, personally appeared before me Robert D.  
Bradshaw, to me known to be the individual described in and who executed the within  
instrument for the uses and purposes herein mentioned.

Given Under My hand and Official Seal; this 21<sup>st</sup> day of July 2005.



Matthew E. Blood  
NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO

MY APPOINTMENT EXPIRES

07-11-06

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of July 2005, I caused to be served a  
true copy of the foregoing document by US Mail Postage Paid to each of the following:

Marc Lyons/Rudy J. Verschoor, Ramsden & Lyons (phone 208-664-5818)  
PO Box 1336  
Coeur d'Alene, ID 83816-1336

Jay H. Sorenson (phone 805-485-6662), Attorney for Robert A. Bisom  
4234 Clubhouse Drive  
Somis, CA 93066.

Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Attention the Attorney General  
2d Floor of the Juan A. Sablan Memorial Bldg  
Caller Box 10007, Capitol Hill  
Saipan, MP 96950

SCOTT KHENG SHANG TAN, c/o CNMI Attorney General  
2d Floor of the Juan A. Sablan Memorial Bldg  
Caller Box 10007, Capitol Hill  
Saipan, MP 96950

Robert D. Bradshaw  
Robert D. Bradshaw, Defendant, Pro Se

Page 008 N 11000 10181510 50-10

From-

Received Mar-30-2006 12:00

**verizon**

Make progress every day

Billing Date: 08/01/04 Page 4 of 6  
 Telephone Number: 208 245-1691 011113  
 Account Number: 05 0134 1099766705 05  
 How to Reach Us: See page 2

**CHANGE IN CHARGES**

local telephone rates affordable for all customers and for discounts to schools, libraries, rural health care providers, and low income families. As a result of the LNP surcharge, the FUSF surcharge will increase. The LNP and FUSF surcharges are not applied to customers subscribed to Verizon's Lifeline service, except for the FUSF surcharge on incidentals.

**Verizon Long Distance**

The following long distance charges appear on your Verizon bill as a service to Verizon Long Distance.

**LONG DISTANCE HELPFUL NUMBERS**

Questions about your long distance bill  
 Trouble with your long distance service  
 Changes to your long distance service  
 Other long distance questions  
 Visit our Website at

1 877 483-5305  
 1 800 483-8494  
 1 888 483-7547  
 1 888 483-7547  
 verizonLD.com

**SUMMARY OF CHARGES FOR VERIZON LONG DISTANCE**

Domestic	Amount	
Direct Dialed	3.37	
Total usage	\$ 3.37	
Total Long Distance calls		\$ 3.37
Taxes and Surcharges		.26
Total Verizon Long Distance Charges		\$ 3.63

Your calling plan(s): Timeless TTY

Timeless TTY

**Direct Dialed Calls**

Day	Date	Time	Place called	ID	Number called	Min.	
1 Mon	Aug 2	11:53 am	HaydenLake	ID	208 762-2310	2	.34 ✓
2 Mon	Aug 2	11:55 am	Coerdalene	ID	208 667 3100	1	.17 ✓
3 Thu	Aug 12	1:10 pm	Spokane	WA	509 448-1000	2	.20 ✓
4 Fri	Aug 13	11:23 am	Spokane	WA	509 448-1000	1	.10 ✓
5 Fri	Aug 20	9:08 am	Coerdalene	ID	208 667-9559	8	1.36 ✓
6 Mon	Aug 23	5:03 pm	Susupe	NN	670 664-2367	2	.20 ✓
7 Tue	Aug 24	4:10 pm	Susupe	NN	670 234-6243	3	.30 ✓
8 Tue	Aug 24	4:14 pm	Capitolhl	NN	670 323-6633	1	.10 ✓
9 Thu	Aug 26	4:08 pm	Susupe	NN	670 234-6243	1	.10 ✓
10 Thu	Aug 26	4:09 pm	Susupe	NN	670 234-6243	1	.10 ✓
11 Thu	Aug 26	4:09 pm	Susupe	NN	670 234-6243	1	.10 ✓
12 Thu	Aug 26	5:06 pm	Susupe	NN	670 234-6243	1	.10 ✓
13 Thu	Aug 26	5:06 pm	Susupe	NN	670 234-6243	1	.10 ✓
14 Thu	Aug 26	5:07 pm	Susupe	NN	670 234-6243	1	.10 ✓
Subtotal							\$ 3.37

**Summary of Timeless TTY**

15 Plan calls	3.37
Total	\$ 3.37

Timeless TTY start date: 02/17/04

Total Long Distance calls	\$ 3.37
---------------------------	---------

Thank you for using Verizon Long Distance.

Scanned by

(52)

**verizon**

Make progress every day

Billing Date: 10/01/04 Page 4 of 6  
 Telephone Number: 208 245-1691 01111  
 Account Number: 03 0134 1000768705 05  
 How to Reach Us: See page 2

## Verizon Long Distance

The following long distance charges appear on your Verizon bill as a service to Verizon Long Distance.

### LONG DISTANCE HELPFUL NUMBERS

Questions about your long distance bill  
 Trouble with your long distance service  
 Changes to your long distance service  
 Other long distance questions  
 Visit our Website at

1 877 483-5305  
 1 800 483-8494  
 1 888 483-7547  
 1 888 483-7547  
 verizonf.d.com

### SUMMARY OF CHARGES FOR VERIZON LONG DISTANCE

Domestic	Amount	
Direct Dialed	13.90	
Total usage	\$ 13.90	
Total Long Distance calls		\$ 13.90
Taxes and Surcharges		1.58
Total Verizon Long Distance Charges		\$ 15.48

Your calling plan(s): Timeless TTY

Timeless TTY

#### Direct Dialed Calls

Day	Date	Time	Place called	Number called	Min.	
1 Tue	Aug 31	3:45 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-6243	1	.10
2 Tue	Aug 31	6:14 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-6243	1	.10
3 Tue	Aug 31	6:15 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-6243	1	.10
4 Wed	Sep 1	11:08 am	Spokane	WA 509 998-4575	1	.10
5 Mon	Sep 6	4:21 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2366	6	.60
6 Mon	Sep 6	8:25 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-6215	1	.10
7 Mon	Sep 6	9:43 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7729	12	1.20
8 Thu	Sep 9	5:29 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7729	42	4.20
9 Thu	Sep 9	6:11 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7253	1	.10
10 Sun	Sep 12	2:41 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2367	3	.30
11 Sun	Sep 12	2:54 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2366	41	4.10
12 Sun	Sep 19	3:07 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2341	18	1.80
13 Sun	Sep 19	4:26 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2341	3	.30
14 Tue	Sep 21	1:51 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2342	1	.10
15 Tue	Sep 21	2:50 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2342	2	.20
16 Fri	Sep 24	7:43 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2342	1	.10
17 Mon	Sep 27	2:54 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2341	1	.10
18 Mon	Sep 27	3:35 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2341	1	.10
19 Mon	Sep 27	4:31 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2341	2	.20
Subtotal						\$ 13.90

#### Summary of Timeless TTY

20 Plan calls	13.90
Total	\$ 13.90

Timeless TTY start date: 02/17/04

Total Long Distance calls	\$ 13.90
---------------------------	----------

Thank you for using Verizon Long Distance.

(53)



Make progress every day

Received Mar-30-2006 12:06  
 Billing Date: 11/01/04 Page 4 of 6  
 Telephone Number: 208 245-1691 011113  
 Account Number: 03 0134 1089768705 03  
 How to Reach Us: See page 2

## Verizon Long Distance

The following long distance charges appear on your Verizon bill as a service to Verizon Long Distance.

### LONG DISTANCE HELPFUL NUMBERS

Questions about your long distance bill 1 877 483-5305  
 Trouble with your long distance service 1 800 483-8494  
 Changes to your long distance service 1 888 483-7547  
 Other long distance questions 1 888 483-7547  
 Visit our Website at verizonID.com

### SUMMARY OF CHARGES FOR VERIZON LONG DISTANCE

Domestic	Amount	
Direct Dialed	.70	
Total usage	\$ .70	
Total Long Distance calls		\$ .70
Taxes and Surcharges		.08
Total Verizon Long Distance Charges		\$ .78

Your calling plan(s): Timeless TTY

#### Timeless TTY

##### Direct Dialed Calls

Day	Date	Time	Place called	Number called	Min.	
1 Sat	Oct 9	10:08 am	Soap Lake WA	509 246-1559	1	.10
2 Sun	Oct 17	3:21 pm	Susupe NN	670 664-2312	1	.10
3 Tue	Oct 19	2:56 pm	Susupe NN	670 664-2312	1	.10
4 Tue	Oct 19	4:59 pm	Susupe NN	670 664-2312	1	.10
5 Wed	Oct 20	3:05 pm	Susupe NN	670 664-2312	2	.20
6 Tue	Oct 26	10:11 am	Summervl SC	843 871-5651	1	.10
Subtotal						\$ .70

##### Summary of Timeless TTY

7 Plan calls	.70
Total	\$ .70

Timeless TTY start date: 02/17/04

Total Long Distance calls	\$ .70
---------------------------	--------

Thank you for using Verizon Long Distance.

#### TAXES AND FEES ON SERVICES

8 Federal excise tax at 3.00%	.02
9 Federal Universal Service Fee - Verizon I.D	.06
Total	\$ .08

Verizon Long Distance charges	\$ .78
-------------------------------	--------

Total Verizon Long Distance Charges	\$ .78
-------------------------------------	--------

Nonpayment of provider charges will not result in the disconnection of your local telephone service; however, collection of unpaid charges may be pursued by the service provider.

To-Us DISTRICT COURT, N Page 002

From

Received Mar-30-2006 12:02

**verizon**

We never stop working for you.

Billing Date: 02/01/05 Page 4 of 4  
 Telephone Number: 208 245-1891 011113  
 Account Number: 03 0134 1098768705 05  
 How to Reach Us: See page 2

**Verizon Long Distance****SUMMARY OF CHARGES FOR VERIZON LONG DISTANCE (continued)**

Total Verizon Long Distance Charges \$ 6.64

Your calling plan(s): Timeless TTY

Timeless TTY

**Direct Dialed Calls**

Day	Date	Time	Place called	Number called	Min.	
1 Sun	Jan 23	2:52 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2341	1	.10
2 Sun	Jan 23	2:36 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2312	1	.10
3 Sun	Jan 23	2:57 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2312	2	.20
4 Sun	Jan 23	3:35 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2312	1	.10
5 Sun	Jan 23	3:35 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2312	7	.70
6 Sun	Jan 23	3:43 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664 2341	17	1.70
7 Mon	Jan 24	10:30 am	Pyatt	AR 870 427-2819	30	3.00
					Subtotal	\$ 5.90

**Summary of Timeless TTY**

8 Plan calls	\$ 5.90
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 5.90</b>

Timeless TTY start date: 02/17/04

Total Long Distance calls \$ 5.90

Thank you for using Verizon Long Distance.

**TAXES AND FEES ON SERVICES**

9 Federal excise tax at 3.00%	.19
10 Federal Universal Service Fee - Verizon LD	.55
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ .74</b>

Verizon Long Distance charges \$ 6.64

**Total Verizon Long Distance Charges \$ 6.64**

Nonpayment of provider charges will not result in the disconnection of your local telephone service; however, collection of unpaid charges may be pursued by the service provider.

The rates for these services are not regulated by the Idaho Public Utilities Commission.

55

Page 003 To-US DISTRICT COURT, N

Received Mar-30-2006 12:05 From



We never stop working for you.

Billing Date: 03/01/05 Page  
 Telephone Number : 208 245-16  
 Account Number: 03 0134 109978  
 How to Reach Us : See page 2

## Verizon Long Distance

### SUMMARY OF CHARGES FOR VERIZON LONG DISTANCE (continued)

Total Verizon Long Distance Charges

\$ 13.12

Your calling plan(s): Timeless TTY

Timeless TTY

#### Direct Dialed Calls

Day	Date	Time	Place called	Number called	Mins.	Rate
1 Thu	Feb 3	2:57 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664 2341	10	1.00
2 Sun	Feb 6	1:57 pm	Susupe	NN 670 664-2314	12	1.20
3 Mon	Feb 7	2:57 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-8662	1	.10
4 Mon	Feb 7	2:58 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-8662	1	.10
5 Mon	Feb 7	3:03 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-8662	1	.10
6 Mon	Feb 7	3:08 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-8662	1	.10
7 Mon	Feb 7	3:12 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-8662	1	.10
8 Mon	Feb 14	2:00 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7729	1	.10
9 Sat	Feb 19	8:10 pm	Moses Lake	WA 509 750-9793	8	.80
10 Sun	Feb 20	7:04 pm	Sandpoint	ID 208 263-8037	1	.17
11 Mon	Feb 21	2:13 pm	Capitolhl	NN 670 322-6481	1	.10
12 Mon	Feb 21	2:14 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7729	1	.10
13 Mon	Feb 21	2:13 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-6243	1	.10
14 Mon	Feb 21	2:16 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7729	1	.10
15 Mon	Feb 21	2:16 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9006	1	.10
16 Mon	Feb 21	2:18 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7729	2	.20
17 Mon	Feb 21	2:25 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7729	1	.10
18 Mon	Feb 21	2:27 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-4528	1	.10
19 Mon	Feb 21	2:33 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7739	1	.10
20 Mon	Feb 21	2:34 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7729	1	.10
21 Mon	Feb 21	2:36 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9006	1	.10
22 Mon	Feb 21	2:41 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-4528	1	.10
23 Mon	Feb 21	2:52 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234 7729	1	.10
24 Mon	Feb 21	2:53 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9006	3	.30
25 Mon	Feb 21	3:31 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9006	1	.10
26 Mon	Feb 21	3:31 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9006	2	.20
27 Mon	Feb 21	4:40 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9006	1	.10
28 Mon	Feb 21	7:45 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9006	4	.40
29 Wed	Feb 23	3:20 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7729	5	.50
30 Wed	Feb 23	3:42 pm	Capitolhl	NN 670 323-6633	1	.10
31 Wed	Feb 23	3:43 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9315	1	.10
32 Wed	Feb 23	3:46 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-4528	1	.10
33 Wed	Feb 23	3:47 pm	Agana	GU 671 477-8064	4	.40
34 Wed	Feb 23	3:53 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-7578	1	.10
35 Wed	Feb 23	3:54 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-9797	4	.40
36 Wed	Feb 23	8:11 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-6341	2	.20
37 Thu	Feb 24	2:03 pm	Agana	GU 671 477-8064	1	.10
38 Thu	Feb 24	2:27 pm	Agana	GU 671 477-8064	2	.20
39 Thu	Feb 24	3:59 pm	Capitolhl	NN 670 322-1823	1	.10
40 Thu	Feb 24	5:59 pm	Capitolhl	NN 670 322-1823	8	.80
41 Sun	Feb 27	4:32 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-6925	2	.20
42 Sun	Feb 27	6:45 pm	Capitolhl	NN 670 322 1823	1	.10
43 Sun	Feb 27	6:46 pm	Capitolhl	NN 670 322-1823	7	.70
44 Mon	Feb 28	5:49 pm	Susupe	NN 670 236-9820	6	.60
45 Mon	Feb 28	5:56 pm	Susupe	NN 670 236-9820	1	.10
46 Mon	Feb 28	5:58 pm	Susupe	NN 670 236-9766	1	.10
47 Mon	Feb 28	7:16 pm	Susupe	NN 670 236-9767	1	.10
48 Mon	Feb 28	7:17 pm	Susupe	NN 670 236-9766	4	.40
Subtotal						\$ 11.67

To-Us DISTRICT COURT, N Page 004

Received Mar-30-2006 12:06 From-



We never stop working for you.

Billing Date: 04/01/05 Page 4 of 6  
 Telephone Number: 208 245-1691 011113  
 Account Number: 03 0134 1099768705 05  
 How to Reach Us: See page 2

## Verizon Long Distance

The following long distance charges appear on your Verizon bill as a service to Verizon Long Distance.

### LONG DISTANCE HELPFUL NUMBERS

Questions about your long distance bill  
 Trouble with your long distance service  
 Changes to your long distance service  
 Other long distance questions  
 Visit our Website at

1 877 483-5305  
 1 800 483-8494  
 1 888 483 7547  
 1 888 483-7547  
 verizonLD.com

*Mario*

### SUMMARY OF CHARGES FOR VERIZON LONG DISTANCE

Domestic	Amount	
Direct Dialed	3.40	
Total usage	\$ 3.40	
Total Long Distance calls		\$ 3.40
Taxes and Surcharges		.44
Total Verizon Long Distance Charges		\$ 3.84

Your calling plan(s): Timeless TTY

#### Timeless TTY

##### Direct Dialed Calls

Day	Date	Time	Place called	Number called	Min.	
1	Wed Mar 2	3:00 pm	Susupe	NN 670 236-9767	1	.10
2	Wed Mar 2	3:26 pm	Susupe	NN 670 236-9766	1	.10
3	Wed Mar 2	3:44 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9315	1	.10
4	Wed Mar 2	7:40 pm	Susupe	NN 670 236-9766	1	.10
5	Sun Mar 6	3:18 pm	Susupe	NN 670 236-9767	1	.10
6	Sun Mar 6	3:54 pm	Guale Rai	NN 670 235-6952	2	.20
7	Sun Mar 6	3:59 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9315	1	.10
8	Sun Mar 6	4:00 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-4529	2	.20
9	Sun Mar 6	5:03 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-9315	2	.20
10	Sun Mar 6	5:05 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-7190	3	.30
11	Sun Mar 6	5:08 pm	Susupe	NN 670 234-8946	2	.20
12	Sun Mar 6	5:10 pm	Guale Rai	NN 670 235-7850	2	.20
13	Sun Mar 6	5:12 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-4529	1	.10
14	Sun Mar 6	5:29 pm	Susupe	NN 670 235-4529	6	.60
15	Sun Mar 13	2:00 pm	Susupe	NN 670 236-2950	8	.80
Subtotal						\$ 3.40

#### Summary of Timeless TTY

16 Plan calls	3.40
Total	\$ 3.40

Timeless TTY start date: 02/17/04

Total Long Distance calls	\$ 3.40
---------------------------	---------

Thank you for using Verizon Long Distance.

13

To: US DISTRICT COURT, N Page 005

From:

Received Mar-30-2006 12:06

The following is transmitted by both FAX and US mail:

at 25, 2005

AG, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands  
 Caller Box 10007, Capitol Hill  
 Saipan, MP 96950

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to CNMI Superior Court Case No 96-1320 (in which the case file shows post office receipts allegedly from me showing that I received service on the complaint and its amendments by certified mail), my written and telephone communications on this matter to your office from Sep 12, 2004 on to this date, my attached memo for record (enclosures C and D), and my request from me to file a criminal complaint against the person or persons whom had prepared the receipts, all of which I deem to be forgeries and involving fraud to prompt a \$139,000 default judgment against me in the Superior Court case.

It is my understanding that your office has undertaken an investigation commenced on or about Sep 12, 2004 on my request to file criminal charges against the party or parties producing the alleged mail receipts. In my conversation with Mr Buckingham of your office, I noted the possibility that the alleged mail receipts involved could become lost or damaged in the course of your investigation. I strongly recommended that your office make xerox copies of the original receipts and have a notary certify them as certified true copies of an original. These copies can be used in your investigation while the actual receipts can be safeguarded and secured to prevent loss or damage. I hope that this security process was implemented.

I also suggested to Mr Buckingham that Postal inspectors are available in the US to precisely investigate matters such as my complaint. This process could be done fairly quickly and involve little expense to the CNMI (although each passing day since Sep 12, 2004 goes to make it more possible that the Postal records or people will be unable to determine what happened). Finally, I suggested that this whole thing can be referred to the FBI as it involves interstate fraud and possible conspiracy to defraud both me and the CNMI (I note that the CNMI has already paid out over \$100,000 in this matter plus the judgment of \$139,000 against me).

In the Supreme court decision on this case, it appears that plaintiff argued that under the CNMI indemnification act, the CNMI should pay the \$139,000. The court said that since I allegedly had not asked for any indemnification, none is due (apparently, the court said that if I had asked for indemnification, the plaintiff would have been paid the \$139,000 judgment). Of course, it is totally wrong (and I would suggest that it is absurd and virtually impossible to believe) that "I had not asked for indemnification." The truth is that my attorney, the AG, had not informed me on what had happened or was happening on this case, despite my many contacts and persistence with the AG on this matter.

In any case, in accordance with the Supreme Court decision, I sent your office the attached letter (enclosure A) on Sep 12, 2004 by both fax and mail requesting indemnification. Mr Buckingham confirmed by telephone conversation that he had seen my fax. As I desired something in writing on this matter, I wrote the attached letter (enclosure B) on Nov 26, 2004 asking for a formal confirmation. I never received a reply.

Again, I ask for a formal confirmation on this request from me for indemnification of \$139,130 and what your response is to my request. I will only note here that if this request is not honored promptly, I am continuing to incur expenses for phone calls, postage, etc, plus much pain and suffering. I hope that your office will address these needs promptly. Thanking you, I am,

Your very truly,

  
 Robert D. Bradshaw

PO Box 473, Calder, ID 83808, Phone 208-245-1691

JD

Exhibit 10



PRR-15-PYMS 10:43 FROM:

TD: 20082454991

P.3

**The Law Offices of**  
**GORKAY & GAVRAS**  
**PERSONAL INJURY AND AUTO ACCIDENTS**  
**Insurance Claims**  
**Employment Discrimination - EEOC and Title VII**  
**FIRST CONSULTATION IS FREE**  
We are a firm that has been established for over 25 years. We have a proven track record of success in handling all types of personal injury and auto accident cases. We are experienced in dealing with insurance companies and are committed to getting the best results for our clients. We offer a free consultation to all potential clients. Please call today to schedule your free consultation.  
1004 Chestnut Street  
Hager, N. 2nd Floor  
Tel: 609-426-0000 Fax: 609-426-0001  
Email: [info@gorkaygavras.com](mailto:info@gorkaygavras.com)

## Justices affirm trial courts' judgment in Bisom lawsuit

Justices of the Supreme Court on Friday affirmed the trial court's judgment in a lawsuit filed by a former employee of the government, *Bisom v. [Government]*. The trial court had ruled that the government was liable for the plaintiff's injuries sustained while working for the government. The Supreme Court, in a 5-4 decision, affirmed the trial court's judgment. The majority opinion, written by Justice [Name], held that the government was liable for the plaintiff's injuries. The dissenting opinion, written by Justice [Name], argued that the government was not liable. The case was argued before the Supreme Court on [Date]. The trial court's judgment was entered on [Date]. The Supreme Court's decision was announced on [Date].

The plaintiff, [Name], filed a lawsuit against the government, claiming that he was injured while working for the government. The trial court found in favor of the plaintiff, ruling that the government was liable for his injuries. The government appealed the trial court's judgment. The Supreme Court, in a 5-4 decision, affirmed the trial court's judgment. The majority opinion, written by Justice [Name], held that the government was liable for the plaintiff's injuries. The dissenting opinion, written by Justice [Name], argued that the government was not liable. The case was argued before the Supreme Court on [Date]. The trial court's judgment was entered on [Date]. The Supreme Court's decision was announced on [Date].

Received Mar-30-2006 12:06 From-

To-US DISTRICT COURT, N Page 007